1974 SHORT-SEASON COTTON VARIETY TESTS

ARS-S-92 April 1976

DOC EX AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE . U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CONTENTS

	ag
Abstract Introduction Methods	•
Yield and staple characteristics	
Plant growth and fruiting	
Earliness of crop maturity	
TABLES	
1. Lint yield	2
2. Lint percentage	2
3. Average boll size	2
4. Staple length, 2.5% span 5. Staple micronaire	2
6. Staple uniformity 7. Staple strongth	2
Staple strength	3 3
O. Days from planting to first square	6
Days Holli planting to first white bloom	3
J. I failt fieldlit at 60 days from planting	4
1. I failt fleight at 90 days from planting	4
2. I falle fieldlit at 120 (lavs from highting	4
5. Ivalider of squares her hight at 60 days from planting	4
days from planting	4
it at 120 days from planting	5
at 130 days	5
	5
***************************************	6
on all	6
anch	6

1974 SHORT-SEASON COTTON VARIETY TESTS

By L. N. Namken, M. D. Heilman, R. G. Brown, and R. V. Cantu²

ABSTRACT

Twenty short-season cotton varieties (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) were grown at Weslaco, Tex. Yield, micronaire, length uniformity, strength, growth and fruiting characteristics, and earliness are reported. Earliness was judged by four different measures.

INTRODUCTION

Interest in short-season management systems for improving cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) production efficiency has created a need to evaluate the performance of recently released early-maturing varieties and advanced strains under lower Rio Grande Valley conditions. These tests, performed at Weslaco, Tex., supplement regular cotton variety tests by obtaining more detailed information on earliness, fruiting characteristics, and plant growth. They also provide a direct comparison of the performance of conventional varieties with early-maturing varieties recently released or advanced strains about to be released.

This information will help cotton growers decide whether it would be advantageous to use the new varieties in a short-season management system. It will also help cotton breeders evaluate the performance of advanced early-maturing strains under southern Texas environmental conditions.

METHODS

The 1974 tests were conducted on a Hidalgo sandy clay loam at the Soil and Water Conservation District-Agricultural Research Service Research Farm, 5 miles north of Weslaco, Tex. A randomized complete-block design with 3 replications was used to accommodate 20 varieties and advanced strains. The entries were planted in a double-drill configuration with the two drills 8 inches apart on 40-inch centers (shaped beds) and irrigated on February 28.

Each plot was four rows wide and 40 feet long. Stands were thinned to 60,000 to 65,000 plants per acre on March 22. The plots were irrigated once in alternate furrows on June 4. Total rainfall from planting to defoliation (July 22, 144 days after planting) was 4.5 inches. Insecticide applications were based on recommendations provided by a pestmanagement scouting program. No fertilizer was applied.

In the tables reporting results, means not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 0.05 probability level as indicated by Duncan's multiple-range test.

YIELD AND STAPLE CHARACTERISTICS

Twenty-five feet of the southern inside row of each plot was handpicked, with the first harvest on July 8 (130 days after planting). Subsequent harvests were on July 17, July 29, and August 13 to establish yield-maturity curves. The northern inside row of each plot was harvested on August 1 and August 13. Total lint yields (table 1) were obtained by averaging the yields from the two inside rows in each plot. The lint-quality measurements (tables 2-7) were made on samples from the August 1 harvest of the north inside row. Since growers usually mechanically harvest cotton at this maturity, the August 1 harvest, having been exposed to the weather for the same amount of time, should be similar in quality to mechanically harvested cotton. The lint-quality measurements (length, micronaire, uniformity, and strength) reported in tables 4-7 were made on 250-gram lint samples by the Texas Tech University Textile Research Center, Lubbock.

¹Soil scientist, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Weslaco, Tex. 78596.

²Agricultural research technician, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Weslaco, Tex. 78596.

TABLE 1.—Lint yield

Variety or strain	Pounds/acre	
McNair 2-520		
TX-CAMD-E-73C		
TX-6M-10		
Lockett 1140		
'TPSA 1633'	1,245 BCD	
MeNair 71317	1,196 BCD	
'Tamcot SP-37'	1,168 CDE	
'Lambright GL-4'		
'TPSA 110'	,	
Lockett 77-4		
'Paymaster Dwarf'	1.119 DEF	
Arkugo #4		
Lockett 44-0.L	1.112 DEF	
'Quapaw'	1.096 DEF	
'McNair 210'		ļ
'Stoneville 7A'		
'Stoneville 213'		•
'Lambright X-15-4'		
'New Rex'		
Paymaster 1764		

Table 2.—Lint percentage

Variety	
or strain	Percent
•	36.9 A 36.3 AB 35.9 ABC 35.7 ABC 35.4 BC 35.3 BCD 34.8 CDE 34.7 CDE
Lockett 44-O.L. Lockett 1140 'TPSA 110' 'TPSA 1633' 'McNair 210' 'Quapaw' 'Lambright X-15-4' Paymaster 1764	34.6 CDE 34.4 CDE 33.9 DEF 33.8 EF 33.0 FG 32.7 FG

TABLE 3.—Average boll size—Continued

Variety or strain	Gra	ms/boll¹
TX-6M-10 5.	11 E	CDE
'TPSA 110' 5.	05	CDEF
'New Rex' 4.5	97	DEFG
Lockett 1140 4.5	92	DEFG
Lockett 44-O.L	34	EFG
'McNair 210' 4.0	35	FGH
'Stoneville 213'	34	FGH
'Stoneville 7A'	58	GH
TX-CAMD-E-73C	56	GH
'Paymaster Dwarf'	32	Н
"Tamcot SP-37" 4.8		H
Arkugo #44.2	26	H
McNair 71317 4.2	22	Ĥ

¹Boll size was determined by dividing total weight of bolls by total number of bolls picked from 25 feet of row.

Table 4.—Staple length, 2.5% span

Variety	
or strain	Inches
'Lambright X-15-4'	1.18 A
'New Rex'	1.17 AB
Paymaster 1764	1.16 ABC
'TPSA 1633'	1 15 ABCD
'Stoneville 7A'	1 14 ABCD
Lockett 1140	1 13 ARCDE
"Tamcot SP-37"	1 13 A B C D E E
McNair 2-520	1 12 ARCDEF
'Stoneville 213'	1 12 ABCDEF
Lockett 44-O.L.	1 12 ABCDEF
'TPSA 110'	1 12 ABCDEF
McNair 71317	1.11 BCDEFG
'McNair 210'	1.10 CDEFG
'Lambright GL-4'	1.09 DEFG
'Quapaw'	1.07 DEFG
Lockett 77-4	1.07 EFGH
TX-6M-10	1.06 FGHI
TX-CAMD-E-73C	1.05 GHI
Arkugo #4	1.03 HI
Paymaster Dwarf'	1.02 HI
James Dwall	1.01 I

Table 5.—Staple micronaire

T strain		1
#4 4.83 A v' 4.83 A ille 7A' 4.80 A ille 213' 4.73 AB · 210' 4.60 ABC 44-0.L 4.57 ABCD 2-520 4.47 ABCDE 1633' 4.40 BCDE 71317 4.37 BCDE 110' 4.33 CDE 110' 4.33 CDE	Variety	
v' 4.83 A ille 7A' 4.80 A ille 213' 4.73 AB · 210' 4.60 ABC 44-0.L. 4.57 ABCD 2-520 4.47 ABCDE 1633' 4.40 BCDE 71317 4.31 BCDE 110' 4.33 CDE MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF	r strain	Index
v' 4.83 A ille 7A' 4.80 A ille 213' 4.73 AB · 210' 4.60 ABC 44-0.L. 4.57 ABCD 2-520 4.47 ABCDE 1633' 4.40 BCDE 71317 4.87 BCDE 110' 4.87 BCDE 110' 4.83 CDE 110' 4.83 CDE	#4	4.83 A
Ille 7A' 4.80 A ille 213' 4.73 AB · 210' 4.60 ABC 44-0.L. 4.57 ABCD 2-520 4.47 ABCDE 1633' 4.40 BCDE 71317 4.37 BCDE 110' 4.33 CDE MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF	v'	4.83 A
• 210' 4.73 AB • 44-0.L 4.60 ABC • 2-520 4.47 ABCDE • 1633' 4.40 BCDE • 71317 4.37 BCDE • 10' 4.33 CDE • MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF	lile '/A'	4.80 A
44-0.L. 4.57 ABCD 2-520 4.47 ABCDE 1633' 4.40 BCDE 71317 4.37 BCDE 110' 4.33 CDE MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF		····· 4.73 AB
2-520 4.57 ABCD 1633' 4.40 BCDE 71317 4.37 BCDE 10' 4.33 CDE MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF		4.60 ABC
1633'		· · · · · · 4.57 ABCD
71317 4.40 BCDE 110' 4.37 BCDE 110' 4.33 CDE MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF		4.47 ABCDE
110' 4.37 BCDE MD-E-73C 4.33 CDE MD-E-73C 4.20 DEF		
VID-E-73C 4.33 CDE	100	4.37 BCDE
DEF	150	
4 177 777		4.20 DEF
EF	***************************************	4.17 EF

Table 5.— $Staple\ micronaire$ —Continued

Variety or strain	Index
Lockett 11404.17	EF
'Paymaster Dwarf' 4.13	EF
Lockett 77-4	FG
Paymaster 1764 3.73	GH
'Lambright GL-4' 3.67	GH
'Lambright X-15-4'	GH ·
TX-6M-10	GH
'Tamcot SP-37' 3.40	Н

Table 6.—Staple uniformity

Variety	
or strain	Ratio
Lockett 44-O.L.	81.0 A
Lockett 1140	81.0 A
'Stoneville 7A'	81.0 A
'Stoneville 213'	81.0 A
'Quapaw'	80.7 A
Arkugo #4	80.3 A
McNair 2-520	80.3 A
Paymaster 1764	80.3 A
'New Rex'	79.7 AB
'Paymaster Dwarf'	79 7 AB
McNair 71317	79.3 AB
Lockett 77-4	79.0 ABC
'McNair 210'	79.0 ABC
TX-CAMD-E-73C	78.3 A BCD
'Lambright X-15-4'	78 3 A BCD
'TPSA 1633'	77.7 ARCD
'TPSA 110'	
'Tamcot SP-37'	76.3 BCD
'Lambright GL-4'	75.7 CD
TX-6M-10	

Table 7.—Staple strength

Variety	
or strain	Grams/tex
	04.0.4
McNair 2-520	
'TPSA 1633'	
'Lambright X-15-4'	22.7 AB
'McNair 210'	$\dots 22.3~\mathrm{ABC}$
'Lambright GL-4	22.3 ABC
Lockett 1140	22.3 ABC
Lockett 44-O.L.	
Paymaster 1764	
'Tamcot SP-37'	21.7 ABCD
'TPSA 110'	21.3 ABCD
'Stoneville 213'	21.3 ABCD
McNair 71317	20.3 BCDE
'Stoneville 7A'	20.3 BCDE
Lockett 77-4	20.3 BCDE
TX-CAMD-E-73C	
'Quapaw'	
'Paymaster Dwarf'	
TX-6M-10	
'New Rex'	
Arkugo #4	18.0 E

PLANT GROWTH AND FRUITING

Fifteen randomly selected plants in the north inside row of each plot were flagged at the six-leaf stage. All plant-growth and fruit-load measurements, reported in tables 8–15, were made on these plants at various stages of the season except the dates of first square and first bloom (tables 8 and 9), which were recorded for each plot, using all four rows in the plot.

Table 8.—Days from planting to first square

V V .		
Variety		Days
or strain		Days
'Paymaster Dwarf'	32.0	A
'TPSA 1633'		
'Tamcot SP-37'		
'Lambright GL-4'	32.0	A
'Quapaw'	32.0	A
Arkugo #4		
Lockett 77-4		
'TPSA 110'		
Lockett 1140		
TX-CAMD-E-73C		BCD
'Lambright X-15-4'		BCD
Paymaster 1764		BCD
McNair 2-520		BCD
McNair 71317	33.7	BCD
'Stoneville 7A'		CDE
'McNair 210'		CDE
TX-6M-10	34.7	DE
Lockett 44-O.L		DE
'New Rex'	35.7	E
'Stoneville 213'		\mathbf{F}

Table 9.—Days from planting to first white bloom

Variety
or strain
TX-CAMD-E-73C
'Paymaster Dwarf'
'Lambright GL-4'
'Tamcot SP-37'
'Quapaw'
Lockett 77-4
Arkugo #4
Lockett 1140
Paymaster 1764
TX-6M-10
'McNair 210'
McNair 2-520
'TPSA 1633'
'TPSA 110'
'Lambright X-15-4'
McNair 71317
Lockett 44-O.L
'Stoneville 7A'
'Stoneville 213'
'New Rex'

 ${\tt TABLE~10.} \color{red} -Plant~height~at~60~days~from~planting$

Variety or strain	Centimeters
Arkugo #4 33.0	Δ
'Quapaw'	ΔR
'TPSA 1633'	ΔR
Lockett 1140 30.7	ARC
Lockett 77-4	ARCD
'Tamcot SP-37' 30.0	BCDE
'TPSA 110'	BCDEF
'Lambright GL-4'	BCDEF
'Lambright X-15-4'	BCDEFG
McNair 2-520	BCDEFG
'Paymaster Dwarf'	CDEFGH
TX-CAMD-E-73C	DEFGH
Paymaster 1764	EFGH
'Stoneville 7A'	EFGH
'McNair 210'	FGH
McNair 71317	GH
Lockett 44-O.L	H
'Stoneville 213'	
TX-6M-10	H H
'New Rex'	n I
41.7	1

 ${\tt TABLE~11.} \color{red} -Plant~height~at~90~days~from~planting$

Variety	Q .: .
or strain	Centimeters
Lockett 44-O.L.	89.9 A
'TPSA 110'	89.9 A
'Lambright X-15-4'	89.5 A
"TPSA 1633"	86.5 AB
Lockett 77-4	85.7 ABC
'Stoneville 7A'	85.2 ABCD
'McNair 210'	82.8 BCDE
'Stoneville 213'	82.6 BCDE
'Quapaw'	81.4 BCDEF
Arkugo #4	80.4 CDEF
McNair 71317	80.4 CDEF
Lockett 1140	80.2 CDEF
'Lambright GL-4'	79.4 DEFG
Paymaster 1764	79.2 EFG
'Tamcot SP-37'	77.4 EFG
McNair 2-520	76.5 FG
'New Rex'	75.9 FG
TX-6M-10	74.2 GH
Paymaster Dwarf'	69.7 H
TX-CAMD-E-73C	

 ${\tt TABLE\,12.} \textcolor{red}{--Plant\,height\,at\,120\,days\,from\,planting}$

	-			
Variety				
or strain		С	entimet	ters
'TPSA 110'	1	104 4	Δ	
'Lambright X-15-4'	1	104 4	Δ	
'Stoneville 213'	1	03.6	Δ	
Stoneville 7A'	1	03.2	Δ	
"TPSA 1633"		97.9	AR	
McNair 71317		94 7	RC.	
Lockett 44-O.L.		94.5	BC	
'Quapaw'		93.0	RC.	

 $\begin{array}{l} {\tt TABLE\,12.--Plant\,height\,at\,120\,days\,from\,planting}\\ {\tt ---Continued} \end{array}$

Variety	Continue		
or strain	Centimeters		
Lockett 77-4	93.8	BC	
Paymaster 1764	92.9	BC	
'McNair 210'	92.5	BC	
McNair 2-520	89.9	CD	
'New Rex'	88.4	CDE	
'Lambright GL-4'	85.0	DE	
Lockett 1140	84.7	DE	
Arkugo #4	83.5	DE	
TX-6M-10	81.5	EF	
'Tamcot SP-37'	81.1	EF	
'Paymaster Dwarf'	75.7	FG	
TX-CAMD-E-73C	69.7	G	

Table 13.—Number of squares per plant at 60 days from planting

Variety or strain	Squares		
'Paymaster Dwarf'	10.4	per plant	
Arkugo #4	9.9	ΔR	
'Quapaw'	9.0	ABC	
'Tamcot SP-37'	8.6	ABCD	
TX-CAMD-E-73C	8.5	ABCDE	
'Lambright GL-4'	8.1	BCDE	
Lockett 1140	7.6	BCDEF	
Paymaster 1764	7.4	BCDEFG	
TX-6M-10	7.2	BCDEFG	
McNair 2-520	7.0	BCDEFG	
Lockett 77-4	6.9	BCDEFG	
'McNair 210'	6.9	BCDEFG	
McNair 71317	6.7	CDEFG	
TPSA 1633'	6.4	DEFG	
Lambright X-15-4'	6.3	DEFGH	
TPSA 110'	6.1	EFGH	
Stoneville 213'	5.3	FGH	
Lockett 44-O.L	5.2	FGH	
Stoneville 7A'	5.2	GH	
New Rex'	4.0	Н	

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm TABLE} \ 14. -\!\! Number \ of \ bolls \ per \ plant \ at \ 90 \ days \\ from \ planting \end{array}$

Variety	Bolls
or strain	per plant
Arkugo #4	
TX-CAMD-E-73C	B
'Paymaster Dwarf'	BC
'Tamcot SP-37'	BC BC
McNair 71317	
'McNair 210'	BC
Lockett 1140	BCD
n 2	BCDE
TX-6M-10	BCDE
Paymaster 1764	BCDE
'Lambright GL-4'	BCDE
Lockett 77-4 6.1	BCDEF
'Quapaw'	BCDEF

TABLE 14.—Number of bolls per plant at 90 days from planting—Continued

Variety or strain	Bolls per plant
McNair 2-520	
'TPSA 110'	5.7 CDEF
'TPSA 1633'	
Lockett 44-O.L.	
'Stoneville 7A'	
'Lambright X-15-4'	4.9 EFG
'Stoneville 213'	4.8 FG
'New Rex'	4.0 G

Table 15.—Number of mature bolls per plant at 120 days from planting

Variety or strain		Bolls per plant
Arkugo #4		
'McNair 210'		
McNair 71317	6.7	ABC
TX-6M-10	6.7	ABC
'Tamcot SP-37'	6.6	ABC
Lockett 1140	6.5	ABC
Lockett 77-4	6.4	ABCD
McNair 2-520	6.4	ABCDE
TX-CAMD-E-73C	6.3	ABCDEF
'TPSA 110'		
'Paymaster Dwarf'	6.2	ABCDEF
'Quapaw'		
'Lambright GL-4'		
'TPSA 1633'		
'Stoneville 7A'	5.5	CDEFG
'Stoneville 213'	5.3	CDEFG
Lockett 44-O.I	5.0	DEFG
Paymaster 1764		
'New Rex'		
'Lambright X-15-4'		

EARLINESS OF CROP MATURITY

Four measures of earliness of crop maturity are reported in tables 16–20. The percentage of total yield harvested at some point during the boll-maturing stage of plant development (in these tests, percentage of total yield 130 days from planting) and mean maturity date are measures commonly used by plant breeders to evaluate earliness.

The production rate index was recently suggested by Bilbro and Quisenberry³ as a means of expressing earliness that is yield related (i.e., amount produced per unit of time). Two varieties

might have approximately the same yield variety could produce its yield in a shorter beriod of time than the other; thus, it would have priod of production rate index and would be considered higher more efficient.

more efficient.

The fruit-set index, defined by Roark⁴ as the ratio of the number of first fruiting sites on each fruiting branch having a harvestable boll to the total fruiting of fruiting branches, expressed as a percentage, is vironment as well as a measure of earlines ven enpercentage of shed at these sites (low fruit-set set on sites further out on the fruiting branch to be thus delays the crop.

⁴Bruce Roark. 1972. The effects of shedding on maturity date and yield. (Abstract) Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf.,

Table 16.—Percent of total yield harve $_{\it Nte}$ days

Variety	
or strain	rero
TX-CAMD-E-73C	Percent
Arkugo #4	41.1 🛕
Tamcot SP-37'	35.4 Å
Paymaster Dwarf'	25.2
Quapaw'	24.9 B
McNair 2-520	22 a B
Lockett 77-4	22.9 BC
Lockett 44-O.L	50 5 BU
Lockett 1140	189 BOX
'McNair 210'	14 8 005
'Stoneville 213'	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & \\ & & \\ &$
Paymaster 1764	12.0 CDEF
'Lambright GL-4'	
'TPSA 1633'	10.6 DEF
'Stoneville 7A'	DED
'Lambright X-15-4'	9.7 DEF
'TPSA 110'	9.3 DEF
'New Rex'	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000
TX-6M-10	0.0 Fr
McNair 71317	4.0 EF

TABLE 17.—Mean maturity date

Variety	Days
or strain	after ble
TX-CAMD-E-73C	139.4 A dhting
Arkugo #4	139.9 A _B
"Tamcot SP-37"	140.2 Ap
'Paymaster Dwarf'	142.7 B
'Quapaw'	142.8 BC
Lockett 44-O.L.	
Lockett 77-4	$\dots 143.6$ $^{C}\mathcal{D}$
McNair 2-520	$egin{array}{c} \dots \dots & ext{144.4} & ext{CDE} \ ext{CDE} \end{array}$
	${}^{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{E}$

³J. D. Bilbro and J. E. Quisenberry, 1973, A yield related measure of earliness for cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum L. Crop Sci.* 13; 392–393.